



medicina *intensiva*

<http://www.medintensiva.org/en/>



ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Central venous catheter associated bacteremia in critically ill adult patients using the sealing of unused lumens with taurolidine

Leonardo Lorente^{a,*}, Maria Lecuona^b, Elena Pérez^a, Adrián Hernández^a, Sergio Sánchez^a, Lucas González^a, Alejandro Ramos^a, Jesús Pimentel^a, Pablo Correa^a, Carmen Dolores Chinea-Rodríguez^a, Carolina Martín-Meana^a, Ana Madueño^b

^a Department of Critical Care, Hospital Universitario de Canarias, Santa Cruz de Tenerife, Spain

^b Department of Microbiology, Hospital Universitario de Canarias, Santa Cruz de Tenerife, Spain

Received 27 March 2025; accepted 2 June 2025

KEYWORDS

Central venous catheter;
Bacteremia;
Taurolidine, sealing;
Bloodstream infections

Abstract

Objective: Lower risk of catheter-related bacteremia (CRB) using taurolidine (antimicrobial agent) sealing of central venous catheters (CVCs) in children has been found in a meta-analysis.

The objective of this study was to analyze whether the sealing of unused lumens of CVCs with taurolidine in critically ill adult patients reduce CRB incidence.

Design: Retrospective study.

Setting: One Spanish Intensive Care Unit.

Patients: Critically ill adult patients, who underwent to some CVC.

Interventions: The seal of unused lumens of CVCs was performed with saline serum 0.9% or taurolidine.

Main variable of interest: Primary Bloodstream Infection (PBI) included catheter-related bloodstream infection (CRBSI) CRBSI and bloodstream infection of unknown origin (BSIUO).

Results: We diagnosed 22 PBI in the 573 (3.8%) CVC without taurolidine and 22 PBI in the 548 (4.0%) CVC with taurolidine ($p=0.88$). Logistic regression analysis showed an association of tracheostomy with the risk of PBI; however, we did not find the association of other variables (included taurolidine) with the risk of PBI.

DOI of original article: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.medine.2025.502252>

Abbreviations: APACHE, Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation; BSIUO, bloodstream infection of unknown origin; CRBSI, catheter-related bloodstream infection; CVC, central venous catheters; ICU, intensive care unit; PBI, Primary Bloodstream Infection.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: lorentemartin@msn.com (L. Lorente).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.medine.2025.502252>

2173-5727/© 2025 Elsevier España, S.L.U. and SEMICYUC. All rights are reserved, including those for text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies.

Please cite this article as: L. Lorente, M. Lecuona, E. Pérez et al., Central venous catheter associated bacteremia in critically ill adult patients using the sealing of unused lumens with taurolidine, Medicina Intensiva, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.medine.2025.502252>

PALABRAS CLAVE

Catéter venoso
central;
Bacteriemia;
Taurolidina, sellado;
Bacteriemia primaria

Conclusion: To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study reporting data about sealing of unused lumens of CVCs with taurolidine in critically ill adult patients. In our preliminary study, we have not been able to demonstrate that sealing unused lumens of CVCs with taurolidine can reduce the risk of PBSI in critically ill adult patients. However, it would be interesting to conduct randomized studies to confirm or discard our findings.

© 2025 Elsevier España, S.L.U. and SEMICYUC. All rights are reserved, including those for text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies.

Bacteriemia asociada a catéter venoso central en pacientes críticos adultos realizando el sellado de luces no utilizadas con taurolidina

Resumen

Objetivo: Se ha objetivado en un meta-análisis un menor riesgo de bacteriemia relacionada con catéter (CRB) utilizando taurolidina (agente antimicrobiano) para el sellado de catéteres venosos centrales (CVCs) en niños. El objetivo de este estudio fué analizar si el sellado de las luces no utilizadas de CVC con taurolidina en pacientes críticos adultos reduce la incidencia de CRB.

Diseño: Estudio retrospectivo.

Ámbito: Una Unidad de Cuidados Intensivos española.

Pacientes: Pacientes críticos adultos con algún CVC.

Intervenciones: Sellado de las luces no utilizadas de CVC con taurolidina o con suero salino 0.9%.

Variable de interés principal: Bacteriemia primaria (PBSI), que incluía bacteriemia relacionada con catéter (CRBSI) y bacteriemia de origen desconocido (BSIUO).

Resultados: Se diagnosticaron 22 PBSI en los 573 (3.8%) CVC sin taurolidina y 22 PBSI en los 548 (4.0%) CVC con taurolidina ($p=0.88$). El análisis de regresión logística mostró la asociación de traqueostomía con el riesgo de PBSI; sin embargo, no encontramos la asociación con otras variables (incluida taurolidina) con el riesgo de PBSI.

Conclusiones: Que nosotros sepamos, este es el primer estudio que aporta datos sobre el sellado de las luces no utilizadas de CVC con taurolidina en pacientes críticos adultos. En un estudio preliminar, no hemos sido capaces de demostrar que el sellado de las luces no utilizadas de CVC con taurolidina puede reducir el riesgo de PBSI en pacientes críticos adultos. Sin embargo, sería interesante realizar estudios aleatorizados para confirmar o descartar nuestros resultados.

© 2025 Elsevier España, S.L.U. y SEMICYUC. Se reservan todos los derechos, incluidos los de minería de texto y datos, entrenamiento de IA y tecnologías similares.

Introduction

Vascular catheter-related bacteremia (CRB) leads to an increase in morbidity and mortality and care costs.¹⁻⁴ For this reason, different measures to try to avoid it have been analyzed by different scientific societies.⁵⁻¹⁰

To avoid thrombosis and/or infection of vascular catheters there has been proposed to fill the lumens that are not used with different products, such as 0.9% saline serum or different agent dissolved in 0.9% saline solution (as heparin, ethanol, taurolidine). Taurolidine has a broad spectrum of antimicrobial activity against gram-positive bacteria (including methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* and vancomycin resistant *Enterococcus*), gram-negative bacteria and fungi.

Some scientific societies have suggested in their clinical practice guidelines (CPGs) to prevent central venous catheter (CVC) associated bacteremia that sealing catheter lumens

with antimicrobials in certain patients could be considered but not routinely, as in CPGs of the Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America (SHEA) published in 2014,⁵ of the United Kingdom Department of Health published in 2014,⁶ and of the Asia Pacific Society of Infection Control (APIC) published in 2016.⁷ In addition, CPGs of the SHEA⁵ and of APISC⁷ specified that it could be used in patients with long-term haemodialysis catheters, in patients with limited venous access and recurrent CRB, in patients at high risk of serious sequelae from CRB (patients with recent prosthetic heart valve or aortic graft implantation) or in paediatric cancer patients with long-acting catheters. However, its use has not been analyzed in the CPGs of Argentina published in 2019,⁸ France published in 2020,⁹ Spain published in 2021,¹⁰ SHEA, Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA), Association for Professionals in Infection Control and Epidemiology (APIC), American Hospital Association (AHA) Joint Commission published in 2022,¹¹ and International

Society for Infectious Diseases (ISID) published in January 2025.¹²

Several meta-analyses have been published in recent years that concluded that sealing unused vascular catheter lumens with taurolidine leads to a reduction in CRB in certain patients.^{13–16} In a meta-analysis published by Kavosi et al. in 2016, including 3 randomized clinical trials (RCTs) with 280 hemodialysis catheters, a lower risk of CRB was observed with taurolidine-citrate vs. heparin sealing.¹³ A meta-analysis published by van den Bosch et al. in 2022 included 14 RCTs and 1219 patients with catheters for haemodialysis, for total parenteral nutrition or of oncologic patients, in which the rate of CRB and adverse events were compared with taurolidine sealing vs other seals (saline or heparin); and lower BRC rate was observed with taurolidine sealing compared to other seals (9 RCTs with 918 patients; RR=0.30; 95% CI=0.19–0.46) encompassing all catheters, but it was not analyzed independently the effect for each type of catheter.¹⁴ In a meta-analysis published by Vernon-Roberts et al. in 2022 including 34 studies (RCTs and non-RCTs) of patients with total parenteral nutrition, lower CRB was observed with taurolidine sealing (RR=0.49; 95% CI=0.46–0.53) compared to other seals (saline, heparin or ethanol).¹⁵ In a meta-analysis published by Sun et al. in 2020, including 4 RCTs with 476 CVCs in children, a lower risk of CRB was observed with taurolidine sealing vs control (saline or heparin).¹⁶ However, there are not reported data about CRB in CVC of critically ill adult patients using taurolidine sealing. Thus, the objective of this study was to analyze whether the sealing of unused lumens of CVCs with taurolidine in critically ill adult patients reduce the risk of CRB.

Material and methods

Design and subjects

This retrospective study was carried out in the Intensive Care Unit (ICU) of the Hospital Universitario de Canarias (Tenerife, Spain). The study was approved by the Institutional Ethic Review Board (CHUC.2024.18) and the requirement of written informed consent for participate in the study was waived. We included patients with some CVC. There were included patients admitted to ICU between 1 April 2023 and 31 March 2024.

Unused lumens of CVCs were sealing with saline serum 0.9% or taurolidine dissolved in saline serum 0.9%. When one unused lumen of CVCs was sealed with saline, it was administered again every 12 h while that lumen was not used; and when unused lumens were reused and subsequently discontinued, the process was repeated, and saline was administered at that moment and afterwards every 12 h. When the unused lumens of CVCs were sealed with taurolidine, it was administered again every 30 days while these lumens were not used; and when unused lumen was reused and subsequently discontinued, the process was repeated, and taurolidine was administered at that moment and afterwards every 30 days. The responsible physician of each patient decided the type of sealing of unused lumens of CVCs for each patient. Patients were managed according to the usual clinical practice in the unit.

Definitions

We use the criteria of European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control for definitions of infections.¹⁷ We considered catheter tip colonization when a significant growth on the CVC tip of a microorganism was obtained by semi-quantitative method of Maki (≥ 15 colony-forming units).¹⁸ Catheter-related bloodstream infection (CRBSI) was defined as the presence of the same recognized pathogen in the blood culture and in the CVC tip without other apparent source of infection. Bloodstream infection of unknown origin (BSIUO) was defined as the presence of bloodstream infection in patients with CVC and without no other apparent source of infection. Primary Bloodstream Infection (PBSI) included CRBSI and BSIUO. Two positive blood cultures (obtained in a separation of 48 h) for a common skin contaminant (*Micrococcus* spp., *Coagulase-negative staphylococci*, *Cutibacterium acnes*, *Corynebacterium* spp. and *Bacillus* spp.) were required. Therefore, some PBSIs had positive colonization of the CVC tip and others will not. Bloodstream Infection secondary to other infection site (BSI-S) was defined as the presence of the same pathogen in the blood culture and in other site.

Variables recorded

We recorded the following data from patients: age, sex, type of patient, reason for admission, diabetes mellitus, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, oncological process, mechanical ventilation, tracheostomy, parenteral nutrition, antimicrobials iv, organ transplantation, ischemic heart disease, obesity, chronic renal failure, Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation (APACHE)-II score,¹⁹ duration of ICU stay, and exitus intra-UCI. In addition, we recorded the following data from CVC: catheter site (subclavian, jugular, femoral), type sealing type of unused lumens of CVCs (taurolidine or saline), time with CVC and presence of PBSI and BSI-S.

Measures for prevention of vascular catheter-related bacteremia

We implement the following measures for prevention of CVC associated bacteremia according the Spanish Bacteremia Zero Project¹²: hand washing before CVC insertion with alcoholic solution (or in case of organic residues on the hands with chlorhexidine gluconate antiseptic soap), cleaning the skin around CVC insertion with 2% alcoholic chlorhexidine solution (70° alcohol or povidone only if there is hypersensitivity to chlorhexidine), full barrier precautions during the insertion of CVCs (with sterile gown, sterile drapes large enough to cover the patient, sterile gloves, mask and cap), avoiding the femoral site, removing unnecessary catheters, and proper catheter maintenance.

The proper catheter maintenance included the following aspects: Hand washing with alcoholic solution. Sterile gloves and cleaning the skin with 2% alcoholic chlorhexidine solution for dressing change and for healing of the insertion site. Minimize the handling of connections. We use transparent, not antimicrobial impregnated dressing

Table 1 Characteristics of central venous catheters (CVC) with and without taurolidine.

	Without taurolidine (n = 573)	With taurolidine (n = 548)	p-Value
CVC site — number (%)			0.21
Subclavian	81 (14.1)	80 (14.6)	
Jugular	378 (66.0)	381 (69.5)	
Femoral	114 (19.9)	87 (15.9)	
Female sex — number (%)	194 (33.9)	174 (31.8)	0.48
PBSI — number (%)	22 (3.8)	22 (4.0)	0.88
BSI-S — number (%)	8 (1.4)	9 (1.6)	0.81
BSI-S to urinary infection - number (%)	2 (0.4)	1 (0.2)	
BSI-S to abdominal infection - number (%)	4 (0.7)	3 (0.5)	
BSI-S to respiratory infection - number (%)	2 (0.4)	5 (0.9)	
Type of patient — number (%)			0.046
Surgical	165 (28.8)	172 (31.4)	
Medical	370 (64.6)	321 (58.6)	
Traumatic	38 (6.6)	55 (10.0)	
Reason for admission - number (%)			0.04
Cardiac surgery	147 (25.7)	159 (29.0)	
Cardiological	32 (5.6)	20 (3.6)	
Respiratory	153 (26.7)	157 (28.6)	
Digestive	61 (10.6)	55 (10.0)	
Neurological	97 (16.9)	68 (12.4)	
Traumatological	38 (6.6)	55 (10.0)	
Intoxication	10 (1.7)	6 (1.1)	
Nephrourological	13 (2.3)	17 (3.1)	
Others	22 (3.8)	11 (2.0)	
Mechanical ventilation - number (%)	441 (77.0)	412 (75.2)	0.53
Tracheostomy — number (%)	115 (20.1)	115 (21.0)	0.71
Parenteral nutrition — number (%)	88 (15.4)	84 (15.3)	0.99
Antimicrobials iv — number (%)	476 (83.1)	456 (83.2)	0.99
Diabetes mellitus — number (%)	152 (26.5)	176 (32.1)	0.04
COPD — number (%)	42 (7.3)	39 (7.1)	0.91
Oncological process — number (%)	75 (13.1)	76 (13.9)	0.73
Organ transplantation — number (%)	7 (1.2)	17 (3.1)	0.04
Ischemic heart disease — number (%)	54 (9.4)	62 (11.3)	0.33
Obesity — number (%)	58 (10.1)	65 (11.9)	0.39
Chronic renal failure — number (%)	37 (6.5)	54 (9.9)	0.04
Duration of CVC — days (mean ± SD)	7.71 ± 6.53	7.70 ± 6.58	0.98
Age — years (mean ± SD)	64.81 ± 45.29	61.47 ± 12.95	0.09
APACHE-II — score (mean ± SD)	13.23 ± 9.76	11.64 ± 9.45	0.01
Exitus intra-UCI — number (%)	118 (20.6)	95 (17.3)	0.18
Duration of ICU stay — days (mean ± SD)	9.08 ± 13.58	8.66 ± 11.53	0.62

COPD = chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; APACHE = Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation; PBSI: primary bloodstream infection; BSI-S: bloodstream infection secondary to other infection site; SD = standard deviation.

that were changed once a week and when visibly soiled, wet or peeling. Sealing of the connections with sterile closed system plug (split septum valve). Clean the injection ports (after hand washing with alcoholic solution and with sterile gloves) with isopropyl alcohol 70° before accessing the system. The connecting lines were changed every 96 h.

We used CVC type ARROWg⁺ard Blue® (Arrow, Reading, PA, United States), which were impregnated on chlorhexidine-silver sulfadiazine on the external and internal surfaces). No impregnated dressings or antiseptic caps were used.

Statistical analysis

We reported categorical variables as number (%) and continuous variables as mean ± standard deviation (SD). Categorical variables were compared using the chi-square test and continuous variables by the *t*-test. We performed a multivariate regression analysis to determine whether the sealing of unused lumens of CVCs with taurolidine reduced independently the risk of PBSI controlling for other risk factors. In the regression analysis we included the sealing with taurolidine and those variables that showed a p-value ≤ 0.05 in the comparison of CVC with or without PSBI (mechanical

Table 2 Characteristics of central venous catheters (CVC) with and without primary bloodstream infection (PBSI).

	Without PBSI (n = 1077)	With PBSI (n = 44)	p-Value
CVC site — number (%)			0.70
Subclavian	155 (14.4)	6 (13.6)	
Jugular	731 (67.9)	28 (63.6)	
Femoral	191 (17.7)	10 (22.7)	
Female sex — number (%)	353 (32.8)	15 (34.1)	0.87
CVC with taurolidine — number (%)	526 (48.8)	22 (50.0)	0.88
Type of patient — number (%)			0.20
Surgical	329 (30.5)	8 (18.2)	
Medical	660 (61.3)	31 (70.5)	
Traumatic	88 (8.2)	5 (11.4)	
Reason for admission — number (%)			0.21
Cardiac surgery	298 (27.7)	8 (18.2)	
Cardiological	51 (4.7)	1 (2.3)	
Respiratory	295 (27.4)	15 (34.1)	
Digestive	114 (10.6)	2 (4.5)	
Neurological	155 (14.4)	10 (22.7)	
Traumatological	88 (8.2)	5 (11.4)	
Intoxication	16 (1.5)	0	
Nephrourological	30 (2.8)	0	
Others	30 (2.8)	3 (6.8)	
Mechanical ventilation — number (%)	813 (75.5)	40 (90.9)	0.02
Tracheostomy — number (%)	210 (19.5)	20 (45.5)	<0.001
Parenteral nutrition — number (%)	165 (15.3)	7 (15.9)	0.83
Antimicrobials iv — number (%)	898 (83.4)	34 (77.3)	0.30
Diabetes mellitus — number (%)	312 (29.0)	16 (36.4)	0.31
COPD — number (%)	80 (7.4)	1 (2.3)	0.36
Oncological process — number (%)	145 (13.5)	6 (13.6)	0.99
Organ transplantation — number (%)	23 (2.1)	1 (2.3)	0.99
Ischemic heart disease — number (%)	111 (10.3)	5 (11.4)	0.80
Obesity — number (%)	115 (10.7)	8 (18.2)	0.14
Chronic renal failure — number (%)	86 (8.0)	5 (11.4)	0.40
Duration of CVC — days (mean $\pm$ SD)	7.60 $\pm$ 6.59	10.30 $\pm$ 4.99	0.01
Age — years (mean $\pm$ SD)	63.31 $\pm$ 34.19	59.80 $\pm$ 15.07	0.50
APACHE-II — score (mean $\pm$ SD)	12.32 $\pm$ 9.66	16.00 $\pm$ 8.68	0.03
Exitus intra-UCI — number (%)	209 (19.4)	4 (9.1)	0.12
Duration of ICU stay — days (mean $\pm$ SD)	7.78 $\pm$ 10.69	29.50 $\pm$ 23.68	<0.001

COPD = Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease; APACHE = Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation; SD = standard deviation.

ventilation, tracheostomy, duration of CVC and APACHE-II). We carried out statistical analyses with SPSS 17.0 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, United States) and we considered *P* values lower than 0.05 as significant.

Results

A total of 1121 CVCs (573 without taurolidine and 548 with taurolidine) were included in the study. We diagnosed 44 PBSI in those 1121 CVC that remaining placed for 8636 days, thus the density incidence of PBSI was of 5.09/1000 CVC-days. We diagnosed 22 PBSI in the 573 (3.8%) CVC without taurolidine and 22 PBSI in the 548 (4.0%) CVC with taurolidine (*p* = 0.88).

Table 1 shows the comparison of CVCs with and without taurolidine. We found that CVCs with taurolidine showed a higher rate of diabetes mellitus (*p* = 0.04), organ transplantation (*p* = 0.04), and chronic renal failure (*p* = 0.04), and lower

APACHE-II (*p* = 0.01) that CVCs without taurolidine. Besides, there were differences between CVCs with and without taurolidine on type of patient (*p* = 0.046). There were not found significant differences between CVCs with and without taurolidine on CVC access, sex, PBSI, BSI-S, reason for admission, mechanical ventilation, tracheostomy, parenteral nutrition, antimicrobials iv, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, oncological process, ischemic heart disease, obesity, duration of CVC, duration of ICU stay, and age.

Table 2 shows the comparison of CVCs with and without PBSI. We found that CVCs with PBSI showed a higher rate of mechanical ventilation (*p* = 0.02) and tracheostomy (<0.001), higher duration of CVC (*p* = 0.01), higher APACHE-II (*p* = 0.03), and higher duration of ICU stay (*p* < 0.001) that CVCs without PBSI. There were not found significant differences between CVCs with and without PBSI on CVC access, sex, taurolidine, type of patient, reason for admission, parenteral nutrition, antimicrobials iv, diabetes mellitus, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, oncological process,

Table 3 Multiple logistic regression analyses to determine factors associated with Primary Bloodstream Infection.

	Odds Ratio	95% Confidence Interval	p-value
Mechanical ventilation (yes vs non)	2.008	0.561–7.184	0.28
Tracheostomy (yes vs non)	2.769	1.294–5.925	0.01
Duration of CVC (days)	1.012	0.959–1.068	0.66
APACHE-II (points)	1.021	0.984–1.060	0.27
Taurolidine (yes vs non)	1.040	0.529–2.044	0.91

CVC = central venous catheters; APACHE = Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation.

Table 4 Microorganisms responsible of primary bloodstream infection in central venous catheters (CVC) with and without taurolidine.

	Without taurolidine (n = 22)	With taurolidine (n = 22)	p-Value
Total gram-positive bacteria — no. (%)	16 (72.7)	14 (63.6)	
<i>Enterococcus faecalis</i>	7 (31.8)	7 (31.8)	
Coagulase-negative <i>Staphylococci</i>	8 (36.4)	4 (18.2)	
Methicillin-sensitive <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	0	3 (13.6)	
<i>Enterococcus faecium</i>	1 (4.6)	0	
Total gram-negative bacteria — no. (%)	4 (18.2)	8 (36.4)	
<i>Klebsiella</i> spp.	1 (4.6)	0	
<i>Enterobacter</i> spp.	1 (4.6)	0	
<i>Serratia marcescens</i>	1 (4.6)	3 (13.6)	
<i>Stenotrophomonas maltophilia</i>	1 (4.6)	0	
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	0	3 (13.6)	
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	0	1 (4.6)	
<i>Providencia</i>	0	1 (4.6)	
Total yeasts — no. (%)	2 (9.1)	0	
<i>Candida albicans</i>	1 (4.6)	0	
<i>Candida tropicalis</i>	1 (4.6)	0	

organ transplantation, ischemic heart disease, obesity and age.

Logistic regression analysis showed an association of tracheostomy with the risk of PBSI; however, we did not find the association of other variables (included taurolidine) with the risk of PBSI (Table 3).

Table 4 shows the microorganisms responsible for PBSI with and without taurolidine. There were not found significant differences in the microorganisms responsible for PBSI between both patient groups ($p = 0.18$).

Discussion

Previously, it was found in a meta-analysis published by Sun et al. in 2020, including 4 RCTs with 476 CVC, that taurolidine sealing vs control (saline or heparin) in children was associated with lower risk of CRB.¹⁴ However, there has been no reported data about CVC associated bacteremia of critically ill adult patients using taurolidine sealing. In our series with 1121 CVC in critically ill adult patients, this beneficial effect of taurolidine sealing compared to saline serum 0.9% sealing was not found.

Different risk factors of CVC associated bacteremia has been reported, such as duration of catheterization, total parenteral nutrition, use of multiple-lumen catheters, length of ICU stay, the position of indwelling, APACHE-II

score, age, the extensive use of antibiotic, diabetes mellitus, immunosuppression, CVC site and tracheostomy.^{20–22} However, although some variables were different in comparison between the CVCs that developed or not PBSI in our series, the only variable associated with PBSI in the regression analysis was the presence of tracheostomy.

In addition, some preventive measures have been found to decrease the risk of CVC associated bacteremia, such as antimicrobial-coated CVC,²³ chlorhexidine-impregnated dressings,²⁴ antiseptic barrier caps.²⁵ However, we have not been able to evaluate the effect of these measures because all CVCs were chlorhexidine impregnated and because we did not use chlorhexidine-impregnated dressings or antiseptic barrier caps.

After the beginning of our study, other interesting studies have been published in respect to the use of locking solution with taurolidine.^{26–29} In one pre and post study, it was found a reduction of CRB in hemodialysis with the use of locking solution with taurolidine-citrate-heparin.²⁶ In a retrospective case series in children with parenteral nutrition in the home setting, it was found a reduction of CRB with taurolidine.²⁷ In a RCT, it was found a reduction of CRB in hemodialysis catheters with the use of locking solution with taurolidine-heparin.²⁸ However, in a RCT in paediatric oncology patients, the use locking solution with taurolidine-citrate-heparin was not associated with a reduc-

tion of CRB.²⁹ However, in none of those recently published studies were reported data about CRB in CVC of critically ill adult patients using taurolidine sealing. Thus, herein lies the novelty of the objective and findings of our study.

We want to admit some limitations of our study. We have not been able to report the CVC indication, number of lumens in each catheter that were not used and how long the lumens have remained unused for each catheter. Besides, the taurolidine or saline serum sealing was not randomized assigned, and we have not reported whether the sealing in each group of patients was carried out adequately. We do not know what the effect would have been using other preventive measures of CVC associated bacteremia. However, taking into account our PSBI rate, it seems appropriate to implement other preventive measures to try to reduce it.

In addition, after the beginning of our study, other interesting in vitro studies have been published in respect to the use of locking solution with taurolidine.^{30,31} In study one was compared the effectiveness of solutions as different taurolidine concentrations (3.5%, 2%, 1.35% and 0.675%), and it was concluded that 0.675% taurolidine is still effective.³⁰ In another study was concluded that a solution of 1.35% taurolidine is more effective than a solution of 4% ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) to eradicate gram-positive and gram-negative microorganisms and fungi.³¹ However, we only compared the use of locking solution with taurolidine 0.9% and saline serum 0.9%.

Conclusions

In conclusion, to the best of our knowledge, this is the first study reporting data about the use of sealing of unused lumens of CVCs with taurolidine in critically ill adult patients. In our preliminary study, we have not been able to demonstrate that sealing unused lumens of CVCs with taurolidine can reduce the risk of PBSI in critically ill adult patients. However, it would be interesting to conduct randomized studies that control the aspects discussed in our limitations to confirm or discard our findings.

Key messages

- Some recent meta-analyses have concluded that sealing unused vascular catheter lumens with taurolidine led to a reduction in catheter-related bacteremia in certain catheters.
- Some recent clinical practice guidelines (CPGs) suggested the sealing of unused vascular catheter lumens with taurolidine in certain catheters.
- Sealing of unused lumens of CVCs with taurolidine in critically ill adult patients did not reduce the risk of CVC associated bacteremia.

CRedit authorship contribution statement

Leonardo Lorente conceived, designed and coordinated the study, participated in acquisition and interpretation of data, and drafted the manuscript. Maria Lecuona, Elena Pérez, Adrián Hernández, Sergio Sánchez, Lucas González, Alejandro Ramos, Jesús Pimentel, Pablo Correa, Carolina Martín,

Carmen Dolores China and Ana Madueño participated in acquisition of data. All authors revised the manuscript critically for important intellectual content and made the final approval of the version to be published.

Declaration of Generative AI and AI-assisted technologies in the writing process

None.

Funding

None.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

References

1. Chaves F, Garnacho-Montero J, Del Pozo JL, Bouza E, Capdevila JA, de Cueto M, et al. Diagnosis and treatment of catheter-related bloodstream infection: clinical guidelines of the Spanish Society of Infectious Diseases and Clinical Microbiology and (SEIMC) and the Spanish Society of Spanish Society of Intensive and Critical Care Medicine and Coronary Units (SEMICYUC). *Med Intensiva*. 2018;42:5–36.
2. Siempos II, Kopterides P, Tsangaris I, Dimopoulou I, Armaganidis AE. Impact of catheter-related bloodstream infections on the mortality of critically ill patients: a meta-analysis. *Crit Care Med*. 2009;37:2283–9.
3. Lambert ML, Suetens C, Savey A, Palomar M, Hiesmayr M, Morales I, et al. Clinical outcomes of health-care-associated infections and antimicrobial resistance in patients admitted to European intensive-care units: a cohort study. *Lancet Infect Dis*. 2011;11:30–8.
4. Laupland KB, Lee H, Gregson DB, Manns BJ. Cost of intensive care unit-acquired bloodstream infections. *J Hosp Infect*. 2006;63:124–32.
5. Marshall J, Mermel LA, Fakih M, Hadaway L, Kallen A, O'Grady NP, et al., Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America. Strategies to prevent central line-associated bloodstream infections in acute care hospitals: 2014 update. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol*. 2014;35:753–71.
6. Loveday HP, Wilson JA, Pratt RJ, Golsorkhi M, Tingle A, Bak A, et al., UK Department of Health. Epic3: national evidence-based guidelines for preventing healthcare-associated infections in NHS hospitals in England. *J Hosp Infect*. 2014;86 Suppl 1:S1–70.
7. Ling ML, Apisarnthanarak A, Jaggi N, Harrington G, Morikane K, Thu le TA, et al. APSIC guide for prevention of Central Line Associated Bloodstream Infections (CLABSI). *Antimicrob Resist Infect Control*. 2016;5:16.
8. Farina J, Cornistein W, Balasini C, Chuluyan J, Blanco M. Infecciones asociadas a catéteres venosos centrales. Actualización y recomendaciones intersociedades [Central venous catheter related infections. Inter-Societies update and recommendations]. *Medicina (B Aires)*. 2019;79:53–60.
9. Timsit JF, Baleine J, Bernard L, Calvino-Gunther S, Darmon M, Dellamonica J, et al. Expert consensus-based clinical practice guidelines management of intravascular catheters in the intensive care unit. *Ann Intensive Care*. 2020;10:118.
10. Update of the Spanish Bacteremia Zero Program. December 2021. Available in: <https://seguridaddelpaciente>

- .es/resources/documentos/2022/05/proyecto-bacteriemia/protocolo/bibliogr%C3%A1fica.BZ2021.pdf.
11. Buetti N, Marschall J, Drees M, Fakih MG, Hadaway L, Maragakis LL, et al. Strategies to prevent central line-associated bloodstream infections in acute-care hospitals: 2022 Update. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol*. 2022;43:553–69.
 12. Rosenthal VD, Memish ZA, Shweta F, Bearman G, Lutwick LI. Preventing central line-associated bloodstream infections: a position paper of the International Society for Infectious Diseases, 2024 update. *Int J Infect Dis*. 2025;150:107290.
 13. Kavosi Z, Sarikhani Khorrami M, Keshavarz K, Jafari A, Hashemi Meshkini A, Safaei HR, et al. Is Tauridolone-citrate an effective and cost-effective hemodialysis catheter lock solution? A systematic review and cost-effectiveness analysis. *Med J Islam Repub Iran*. 2016;30:347.
 14. van den Bosch CH, Jeremiasse B, van der Bruggen JT, Frakking FNJ, Loeffen YGT, van de Ven CP, et al. The efficacy of tauridolone containing lock solutions for the prevention of central-venous-catheter-related bloodstream infections: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Hosp Infect*. 2022;123:143–55.
 15. Vernon-Roberts A, Lopez RN, Frampton CM, Day AS. Meta-analysis of the efficacy of tauridolone in reducing catheter-related bloodstream infections for patients receiving parenteral nutrition. *JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr*. 2022;46:1535–52.
 16. Sun Y, Wan G, Liang L. Tauridolone lock solution for catheter-related bloodstream infections in pediatric patients: a meta-analysis. *PLoS One*. 2020;15:e0231110.
 17. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control, Available from: In: Point prevalence survey of health care associated infections and antimicrobial use in European acute care hospitals—protocol version 4.3. Stockholm: ECDC; 2012 <https://ecdc.europa.eu/sites/portal/files/media/en/publications/Publications/0512-TED-PPS-HAI-antimicrobial-use-protocol.pdf>
 18. Maki DG, Weise CE, Sarafin HW. A semiquantitative culture method for identifying intravenous catheter-related infection. *N Engl J Med*. 1977;296:1305–9.
 19. Knaus WA, Draper EA, Wagner DP, Zimmerman JE. APACHE II: a severity of disease classification system. *Crit Care Med*. 1985;13:818–29.
 20. Huang H, Chang Q, Zhou Y, Liao L. Risk factors of central catheter bloodstream infections in intensive care units: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS One*. 2024;19:e0296723.
 21. Lorente L, Jiménez A, Martín MM, Castedo J, Galván R, García C, et al. Influence of tracheostomy on the incidence of central venous catheter-related bacteremia. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis*. 2009;28:1141–5.
 22. Lorente L, Henry C, Martín MM, Jiménez A, Mora ML. Central venous catheter-related infection in a prospective and observational study of 2,595 catheters. *Crit Care*. 2005;9:R631–5.
 23. Wang H, Tong H, Liu H, Wang Y, Wang R, Gao H, et al. Effectiveness of antimicrobial-coated central venous catheters for preventing catheter-related blood-stream infections with the implementation of bundles: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. *Ann Intensive Care*. 2018;8:71.
 24. Wei L, Li Y, Li X, Bian L, Wen Z, Li M. Chlorhexidine-impregnated dressing for the prophylaxis of central venous catheter-related complications: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Infect Dis*. 2019;19:429.
 25. Tejada S, Leal-Dos-Santos M, Peña-López Y, Blot S, Alp E, Rello J. Antiseptic barrier caps in central line-associated bloodstream infections: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur J Intern Med*. 2022;99:70–81.
 26. Del Risco Zevallos J, Molina Andújar A, Piñeiro G, Morata L, Casals J, Jimenez M, et al. Reduction of hemodialysis catheter-related blood stream infections in intensive care units after systematic use of tauridolone-citrate-heparin locking solution. *J Vasc Access*. 2024, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/11297298241282370>, 11297298241282370. Epub ahead of print. PMID: 39344927.
 27. Ling G, Ben-Shimol S, Elamour S, Nassar R, Kristal E, Shalev R, et al. The effectiveness of tauridolone antimicrobial locks in preventing catheter-related bloodstream infections (CRBSIs) in children receiving parenteral nutrition: a case series. *Antibiotics (Basel)*. 2024;13:847.
 28. Agarwal AK, Roy-Chaudhury P, Mounts P, Hurlburt E, Paffle A, Poggio EC. Tauridolone/heparin lock solution and catheter-related bloodstream infection in hemodialysis: a randomized, double-blind, active-control, phase 3 study. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2023;18:1446–55.
 29. van den Bosch CH, Loeffen YGT, van der Steeg AFW, van der Bruggen JT, Frakking FNJ, Fiocco M, et al. The CATERPILLAR study: an assessor-blinded randomized controlled trial comparing a tauridolone-citrate-heparin lock solution to a heparin-only lock solution for the prevention of central-line-associated bloodstream infections in paediatric oncology patients. *J Hosp Infect*. 2024;152:56–65.
 30. Visek J, Ryskova L, Machacova A, Marikova M, Blaha V. In vitro comparison of the effectiveness of various antimicrobial locks with tauridolone in the treatment and prevention of catheter-related bloodstream infections in patients receiving parenteral nutrition. *Nutrition*. 2023;114:112115.
 31. Visek J, Ryskova L, Cesakova P, Stanclova J, Vajrychova M, Blaha V. Comparison of tauridolone with 4% ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid on antimicrobial lock effectiveness: an experimental study. *JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr*. 2025;49:373–8.