Differential Characteristics of Patients with Acute Coronary Syndrome without ST-Segment Elevation Compared to those with Transient ST-Segment Elevation
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**Abstract**  
**Objective:** To evaluate different characteristics of patients with acute coronary syndrome (ACS) without ST-segment elevation compared with transient ST-segment elevation.  
**Design:** An observational, prospective study.  
**Setting:** A 12-bed coronary care unit.  
**Patients:** Consecutive patients of ACS without persistent ST-segment elevation.  
**Main variables of interest:** The population was divided into 2 groups according to the presence of transient ST-segment elevation. Variables of interest were age, cardiovascular risk factors, troponin I and glucose concentrations on admission, coronary anatomy, left ventricular ejection fraction, inhospital mortality and drugs.  
**Results:** Patients identified as ACS with transient ST-segment elevation were significantly younger, smokers and predominantly male. At the same time, they showed a minor peak elevation of troponin I, a higher ejection fraction and, mainly single-vessel coronary disease.  
**Conclusions:** Patients with ACS with transient ST-segment elevation differ in the type of population, myocardial damage and coronary angiographic results with respect to patients with ACS without ST-segment elevation. More research is needed to clarify whether these differences imply a different therapeutic approach.
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Introduction

Acute coronary syndrome (ACS) with non-persistent ST-segment elevation is characterized by the briefness of the electrocardiographic changes. Transient or non-persistent ST-segment elevation is defined as elevation that resolves in less than 30 min without reperfusion therapy of any kind. The current treatment guides advocate management very similar to that of ACS without transient ST-segment elevation, though the studies or publications in support of such recommendations, or which explore the differential characteristics between the two disorders, are still insufficient. The present study aims to further our knowledge of these aspects, which we feel may be of relevance for management and prognosis.

Patients and Methods

A prospective cohort study was carried out from November 2008 to October 2009, involving in-hospital patient follow-up and assessing all subjects admitted to the Coronary Unit of the Department of Cardiology of a tertiary Hospital with a diagnosis of ACS without persistent ST-segment elevation. The study population was divided into two groups according to the presence or absence of transient ST-segment elevation. ACS was diagnosed according to the criteria found in the literature, and the patients with ST-segment elevation >1 mm on the first electrocardiographic tracing with resolution in less than 30 min in the absence of reperfusion treatment were classified as presenting ACS with transient ST-segment elevation.

In both groups we analyzed demographic and basal clinical variables (age, sex, history of arterial hypertension, diabetes mellitus, dyslipidemia and smoking), laboratory test parameters (troponin I peak, blood glucose), hemodynamic variables (coronary anatomy, ejection fraction) and in-hospital mortality. The drug treatments used in both groups during hospital admission were also evaluated.

The study was approved by the Clinical Research Ethics Committee of the center, and all patients signed the corresponding informed consent document.

The data were analyzed with the SPSS version 15.0 statistical package for Microsoft Windows. Qualitative variables are reported as percentages, while quantitative variables are expressed as the mean ± standard deviation (SD). Normal sample distribution was assessed with the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. Qualitative variables in turn were compared using the chi-squared test, while the Student t-test was used to compare quantitative variables. Two-tailed hypothesis contrasts were used, accepting statistical significance for p < 0.05 in all cases.

Results

The study involved a sample of 380 consecutive patients with ACS without persistent ST-segment elevation (Table 1). All patients were treated to the same extent with aspirin, nitroglycerin, clopidogrel, statins and glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitors. Of the total patients, 35 (9.2%) were classified as presenting ACS with transient ST-segment elevation. In these subjects the mean ST-segment elevation was 4 ± 2.5 mm, with resolution of the electrocardiographic changes in 20 ± 8 min. The patients identified as presenting ACS with
transient ST-segment elevation were significantly younger, smokers, and predominantly males. The laboratory test data in turn reflected a lesser troponin I peak elevation in this group of patients. Regarding the coronary angiographic results, the patients with ACS with transient ST-segment elevation showed a greater prevalence of single-vessel disease. The left ventricle ejection fraction as determined by transthoracic echocardiography in the first 48 h after admission was greater in the group presenting ACS with transient ST-segment elevation. There were no statistically significant differences in in-hospital mortality.

Discussion

In the present study, the patients showing ACS with transient ST-segment elevation exhibited differences versus the patients with ACS without ST-segment elevation in terms of the type of population, myocardial damage and the angiographic results. Thus, they constitute a special subgroup of individuals with characteristics of their own, clearly differentiated from those of other ACS presentations. Given the scarcity of previous clinical trials in this field, it remains to be established whether these differences imply the need for a different treatment approach in order to afford full benefits for this particular patient population.\textsuperscript{5,6}

Classically, ST-segment elevation on the electrocardiographic tracing has been taken to represent complete occlusion of an epicardial coronary vessel.\textsuperscript{7} As a result, rapid resolution of this ECG change would indicate rapid resolution of the coronary event. This and the comparatively less pronounced changes in the myocardial damage markers, the predominance of single-vessel disease, and the comparatively greater left ventricle ejection fraction all suggest that there are underlying physiopathological differences involving atherothrombotic and vasospastic phenomena to one degree or other.\textsuperscript{8}

There were no differences between the two groups in terms of in-hospital mortality; however, we consider that longer follow-up would be needed in order to establish possible prognostic differences.\textsuperscript{9-11} Probably the limited interest in patients of this kind and their low literature repercussion account for the scant importance and indifferenciation of ACS with transient ST-segment elevation found in the clinical practice guides.

We consider that further studies are needed in order to clarify these issues and to contribute new knowledge of this disorder.
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