

ORIGINAL

Intramuscular midazolam versus intravenous diazepam for treatment of seizures in the pediatric emergency department: A randomized clinical trial \ddagger



J.L. Portela^{a,b}, P.C.R. Garcia^{c,d,*}, J.P. Piva^{e,f}, A. Barcelos^{b,g}, F. Bruno^{c,d}, R. Branco^h, R.C. Tasker^{i,j}

^a Pediatric Emergency Department, Hospital Universitário de Santa Maria, Universidade Federal de Santa Maria (UFSM), Av. Roraima, Prédio 22, Campus, Bairro Camobi, Zip Code: 97105 900, Santa Maria, RS, Brazil

^b School of Medicine, Pontifícia Universidade Católica do Rio Grande do Sul (PUCRS), Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil

^c Department of Pediatrics, School of Medicine, PUCRS, Brazil

^d Hospital São Lucas, PUCRS, Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil

^e Department of Pediatrics, School of Medicine, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul (UFRGS), Brazil

^f Hospital de Clínicas de Porto Alegre, Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil

^g Pediatric Emergency Department, Hospital Universitário de Santa Maria, UFSM, Brazil

^h Pediatric Intensive Care Locum Consultant, Addenbrooke's Hospital, Cambridge, UK

ⁱ Pediatric NeuroCritical Care Program, CHMC, Boston, USA

^j Harvard Medical School, USA

Received 9 December 2013; accepted 3 April 2014 Available online 10 June 2014

KEYWORDS Seizures; Benzodiazepines; Status epilepticus; Epilepsy

Abstract

Aim: To compare the therapeutic efficacy of intramuscular midazolam (MDZ-IM) with that of intravenous diazepam (DZP-IV) for seizures in children. *Design:* Randomized clinical trial.

Setting: Pediatric emergency department.

Patients: Children aged 2 months to 14 years admitted to the study facility with seizures. *Intervention*: Patients were randomized to receive DZP-IV or MDZ-IM.

Main measurements: Groups were compared with respect to time to treatment start (min), time from drug administration to seizure cessation (min), time to seizure cessation (min), and rate of treatment failure. Treatment was considered successful when seizure cessation was achieved within 5 min of drug administration.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: celiny@pucrs.br, celiny@terra.com.br (P.C.R. Garcia).

^{*} Work center: Pediatric Emergency Department, Hospital Universitário de Santa Maria Universidade Federal de Santa Maria (UFSM), Av. Roraima, Prédio 22, Campus, Bairro Camobi, Zip Code: 97105-900 – Santa Maria, RS, Brazil.

Results: Overall, 32 children (16 per group) completed the study. Intravenous access could not be obtained within 5 min in four patients (25%) in the DZP-IV group. Time from admission to active treatment and time to seizure cessation was shorter in the MDZ-IM group (2.8 versus 7.4 min; p < 0.001 and 7.3 versus 10.6 min; p = 0.006, respectively). In two children per group (12.5%), seizures continued after 10 min of treatment, and additional medications were required. There were no between-group differences in physiological parameters or adverse events (p = 0.171); one child (6.3%) developed hypotension in the MDZ-IM group and five (31%) developed hyperactivity or vomiting in the DZP-IV group.

Conclusion: Given its efficacy and ease and speed of administration, intramuscular midazolam is an excellent option for treatment of childhood seizures, enabling earlier treatment and shortening overall seizure duration. There were no differences in complications when applying MDZ-IM or DZP-IV.

© 2013 Elsevier España, S.L.U. and SEMICYUC. All rights reserved.

Midazolam intramuscular frente a diazepam intravenoso para el tratamiento de convulsiones en el Servicio de Urgencias Pediátricas: ensayo clínico aleatorizado

Resumen

Objetivo: Comparar la eficacia de midazolam intramuscular (MDZ-IM) con la de diazepam intravenoso (DZP-IV) para convulsiones en niños. *Diseño*: Ensayo clínico aleatorizado.

Ámbito: Servicio de Urgencias Pediátricas.

Pacientes: Niños de entre 2 meses y 14 años internados con convulsiones.

Intervención: Los pacientes fueron aleatorizados para recibir DZP-IV o MDZ-IM.

Mediciones principales: Tiempo hasta el inicio del tratamiento (minutos), tiempo entre la administración del medicamento y el cese de la convulsión (minutos), tiempo hasta el cese de la convulsión (minutos), y tasa de fallo del tratamiento. El tratamiento fue considerado exitoso cuando las convulsiones cesaron en los 5 min tras la administración del medicamento. *Resultados*: Completaron el estudio 32 niños (16 por grupo). No fue posible obtener acceso intravenoso en 4 pacientes (25%) del grupo DZP-IV. El tiempo entre la internación y el tratamiento fue menor en el grupo MDZ-IM (2,8 vs. 7,4 min; p < 0,001), así como el tiempo hasta el cese de la convulsión (7,3 vs. 10,6 min; p = 0,006). En 2 niños de cada grupo (12,5%), las convulsiones continuaron después de 10 min de tratamiento. No hubo diferencias entre los grupos en los parámetros fisiológicos o eventos adversos (p = 0,171); un niño (6,3%) del grupo MDZ-IM presentó hipotensión, y 5 del grupo DZP-IV (31%) presentaron hiperactividad o vómitos. *Conclusión*: Dada su eficacia, facilidad y velocidad de administración, MDZ-IM es una excelente opción para el tratamiento de convulsion. No hubo diferencias en las complicaciones al aplicar MDZ-IM o DZP-IV.

© 2013 Elsevier España, S.L.U. y SEMICYUC. Todos los derechos reservados.

Introduction

PALABRAS CLAVE

Benzodiazepínicos;

Estado epiléptico;

Convulsiones:

Epilepsia

Background

Epileptic seizures are a common cause of pediatric emergency department visits. The vast majority of seizures cease within 5 min; however, some are prolonged and may progress to status epilepticus (SE).¹ SE, defined as continuous or recurring seizure activity lasting longer than 30 min, is associated with major morbidity rate and carries a mortality rate of up to 20%.² Prolonged seizure activity leads to failure of cerebral autoregulation, which consequently reduces cerebral blood flow and eventually results in cerebral hypoxia.^{2,3}

Importance

Benzodiazepines (BZDs) have been used in the urgent care of seizures for over 40 years, and are considered firstline therapy for this purpose. The BZDs, which act on GABA_A receptors, are effective in the treatment of various types of seizure, have a rapid onset of action after intravenous administration, excellent penetration into the central nervous system, and a good safety profile. Persistent generalized seizure activity increases benzodiazepine resistance; therefore, there is a consensus as to the need for immediate treatment of seizures.^{2,4–8} Lorazepam, diazepam, and midazolam are the three BZDs used in this setting. Lorazepam is the drug of choice due to its rapid onset of action and prolonged effect, but no parenteral formulations are available in Brazil. Consequently, intravenous diazepam, which provides seizure control in 85–90% of the cases,^{2,3} is the first-line drug of choice for acute treatment of seizures in Brazilian practice.

Goals of this investigation

When venous access is challenging or cannot be obtained, the most common alternatives have been rectal diazepam and intranasal midazolam, with some studies suggesting intramuscular midazolam as an additional option.^{7,9} The difficulty of administering BZDs rectally or intranasally and the erratic absorption provided by these routes jeopardize anticonvulsant efficacy.^{5,6,8,10-13} Median time to achievement of intravenous access in children ranges from 5 to 7 min.^{8,11} Difficulties in obtaining venous access delay treatment and, consequently, increase the risk of progression to status epilepticus. Thus far, no consensus has been established as to the best route for administration of BZDs in the event of failed intravenous access.^{1,5}

The objective of this study was to compare the therapeutic efficacy of intramuscular midazolam (MDZ-IM) with that of intravenous diazepam (DZP-IV) in children admitted to the referral service of a pediatric emergency department with epileptic seizures.

Patients and methods

Study design and setting

This randomized clinical trial was carried out between August 2010 and August 2011 in a sample of children admitted to the pediatric emergency department of Santa Maria University Hospital (PSPed-HUSM) with epileptic seizures. PSPed is a tertiary referral center in Southern Brazil, staffed by dedicated pediatricians and pediatric residents, which treats approximately 4000 pediatric patients per month. The study was approved by the local Research Ethics Committee (protocol no. 0184.0.243.000-10) in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki 1964 revised in 2008. In view of the nature of the study condition and to provide the best possible benefit in this urgent setting, the committee authorized immediate patient allocation and treatment without prior consent. Subjects' parents or legal guardians were then notified of the trial, and those who agreed to the use of patient data for research purposes were asked to provide written informed consent.

The study protocol was presented by the authors and approved by the medical officers and nursing staff of the department, who received specific training in its use.

Selection of participants

Inclusion criteria: children between the ages of 2 months and 14 years who were admitted to the emergency department with seizures, regardless of type or potential trigger, and in whom anticonvulsant medication was indicated and ordered by the attending physician. Children could be enrolled in the study more than once. *Exclusion criteria*: children in whom venous access had been obtained in the prehospital setting and those with a known history of coagulopathy or hepatic and/or renal impairment.

Interventions

Patients admitted to the pediatric emergency department with seizures were randomly allocated to one of the two treatment groups: (a) Intravenous diazepam (DZP-IV), 0.5 mg/kg IV (maximum dose 10 mg) at a concentration of 5 mg/ml and with an application speed of 5 mg/min, or (b) intramuscular midazolam (MDZ-IM), 0.5 mg/kg IM (maximum dose 15 mg) at a concentration of 5 mg/ml.

Patients were randomized in blocks of 10. Five slips of paper marked ''DZP-IV'' and five slips marked ''MDZ-IM'' were placed into a brown paper envelope. At the time of admission, the nurse in charge of medication administration took a slip of paper from the envelope at random and administered the corresponding drug as standardized in the study protocol, after adjusting the dose for weight and age.

Methods and measurements

Identifying information and physiological parameters were recorded. The following variables were used as outcome measures: time from admission to drug administration (including time required to obtain intravenous access), time from drug administration to cessation of seizures, and total time from admission to cessation of seizures.

Heart rate and pulse oximetry were monitored in all patients throughout treatment. Vital signs were recorded on admission and every 5 min thereafter until discharge or transfer. Airway suctioning, supplemental oxygen, or tracheal intubation were provided as necessary or when ordered by the attending physician. Immediate adverse drug reactions were assessed in the first 10 min after administration of MDZ-IM or DZP-IV. The emergency department where the study was performed is equipped with crash carts containing all the necessary equipment and medications for treatment of cardiorespiratory instability.

Outcomes and analysis

Treatment was considered successful when cessation of seizures was achieved within 5 min of administration of a single dose of study drug (DZP or MDZ). Requirement of a second dose of study drug or additional medications was defined as treatment failure.

Inability to obtain venous access within 4 min was defined as ''failed intravenous access.'' This 4-min cutoff was chosen on the basis of mean time to establish intravenous access by Pediatric Emergency nursing staff during emergency care.

Statistical analysis: continuous variables were expressed as means and standard deviations. Comparisons were performed using Student's *t*-test (for normally distributed variables) or the Mann–Whitney U test (in case of wide variability). Categorical variables were expressed as percentages and comparisons were performed with the chisquare or Fisher's exact tests.



Figure 1 Study flowchart.

Results

Characteristics of study subjects

Of the 180 children admitted to the Pediatric Emergency Department at Santa Maria University Hospital (Brazil) with a chief complaint of convulsive seizures, 144 were postictal on arrival. The remaining 36 children were enrolled in the study. Of these, 16 were allocated to the MDZ-IM group and 20 to the DZP-IV group. All children allocated to the midazolam group completed the study. Of those allocated to the diazepam arm, four (20%) were excluded due to failed intravenous access, and the remaining 16 completed the study (Fig. 1). The parents or guardians of all 32 children who completed the study had provided informed consent for participation.

There were no significant between-group differences in age, weight, sex, seizure etiology, vital signs, or blood glucose levels on admission. In both groups, most children were under the age of 5 (Table 1).

Main results

In 14 patients in each group (87.5%), seizure activity ceased after administration of a single BZD dose, which shows that both intramuscular midazolam and intravenous diazepam are effective anticonvulsants. Two patients in each group (12.5%) required a second dose of study drug or additional medications for seizure control (treatment failure).

In the MDZ-IM group, active treatment was instituted significantly sooner than in the DZP-IV group (2.8 versus 7.4 min; p = 0.001). Total time to cessation of seizures was also significantly shorter in the MZD-IM group (time between admission and seizure cessation, 7.3 versus 10.6 min; p = 0.006) (Table 2). However, time from drug administration to cessation of seizures was significantly shorter in the DZP-IV group (3.3 versus 4.4 min; p = 0.001).

As noted above, two patients in each group (12.5%) were considered to have failed treatment due to persistence of seizures more than 5 min after BZD administration. The between-group differences in time to active treatment and time to cessation of seizures held true regardless of inclusion or exclusion of the patients that failed treatment (Table 2).

All children in both groups exhibited cyanosis and psychomotor agitation on admission and required supplemental oxygen by nasal cannula or non-rebreather mask. One child in the DZP-IV group progressed to SE of 40-min duration, requiring phenytoin for seizure control, and one child had a seizure duration of 6 min, requiring only an additional dose of IV diazepam. In the MDZ-IM group, one child had a seizure lasting 10 min, requiring intravenous diazepam and phenytoin. Additionally, one child in the MDZ group had SE that lasted 55 min and did not respond to intravenous diazepam. In this case, cessation was achieved after rectal diazepam and an additional dose of intramuscular midazolam.

One child (6.25%) in the MDZ group required intubation, artificial ventilation, and ICU admission due to respiratory failure on admission to the ED (SaO₂ = 64%). Two children (12.5%) in the DZP group required intubation and ICU admission (one due to severe head trauma and one due to respiratory failure with SaO₂ = 80%).

All children were monitored until cessation of seizures or discharge. There were no significant between-group differences in vital signs (Fig. 2).

Possible immediate adverse drug reactions (those occurring within 10 min of study drug administration) included hypotension in one child (6.3%) in the MDZ-IM group, two children (12.6%) with hyperactivity and salivation, one (6.3%) with nausea, and two (12.6%) with vomiting in the DZP-IV group. Nevertheless, the between-group difference in adverse reactions did not reach statistical significance (Table 2).

Discussion

In this randomized clinical trial where intramuscular midazolam was compared with intravenous diazepam for treatment of seizures in the pediatric emergency department, the following findings were observed: (a) in a reasonably high percentage of patients (20%), intravenous access cannot be achieved within 5 min of admission; (b) time from admission to active treatment and time from admission to cessation of seizures are significantly shorter with IM midazolam; and, the previous finding notwithstanding, (c) time from drug administration to cessation of seizures is significantly shorter with IV diazepam instead of IM midazolam.

Current treatment protocols propose that all children with convulsive seizures of >5-min duration should be managed according to established treatment algorithms for status epilepticus, in view of the complications and risk of neurological damage associated with prolonged seizure activity.⁶ The first 10 min of management should focus on protecting the airway and maintaining its patency, providing supplemental oxygen, measuring blood glucose, obtaining intravenous access, and treating the seizure with an intravenous benzodiazepine.^{3,6,8}

One may presume that the minimum time required for a child to reach the emergency department after the onset of seizures, when transported from the home by parents, is 5 min. Studies have estimated that at least 5-7 min are required to obtain intravenous access in pediatric patients.^{8,11} The sum of these times means at least 10-12 min will have elapsed between seizure onset and active treatment, which increases the risk of complications.

When intravenous access cannot be obtained, buccal or intranasal administration of midazolam has been advocated, with an effectiveness rate in the region of 60%.¹³ Despite good absorption through the mucous membranes of

Table 1 Sample profile by group

	Intramuscular midazolam (n = 16)	Intravenous diazepam (n=16)	р
Age (months)	46.4±53.1	45.0±49.2	0.451*
Median (range)	13.9 (10.7–70.0)	14.3 (4.4-90.8)	
Weight (kg)	$\textbf{15.9} \pm \textbf{10.6}$	17.7±13.0	1.000*
Median (range)	11.5 (8.1–19.2)	13.2 (7.2–26.5)	
Male sex, n (%)	12 (75.0%)	8 (50.0%)	0.273 [†]
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	99.5±21.6	102.0 ± 16.4	0.715 [‡]
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	61.6 ± 16.1	63.4±12.6	0.735 [‡]
Body temperature (°C)	37.3±1.4	37.0 ± 1.4	0.670‡
Heart rate (bpm)	149.2±29.0	137.6 ± 31.6	0.289 [‡]
Respiratory rate (bpm)	37.0 ± 16.5	37.1±9.7	0.985 [‡]
Oxygen saturation (%)	90.9±8.7	90.4 ± 5.3	0.846 [‡]
Blood glucose (mg/dL)	116.6 ± 51.6	116.8 ± 39.1	0.955*
Age < 5 years, n (%)	12 (75.0%)	10 (62.5%)	0.702
Febrile seizures, n (%)	7 (43.7%)	6 (37.5%)	0.718 [†]

* Non-normal continuous variables (Mann-Whitney U).

[†] Categorical variables (chi-square or Fisher's exact tests).

[‡] Normally distributed continuous variables (Student's *t*-test).

Table 2 Main outcomes.

Per-protocol analysis (treatment success only)	MDZ-IM (<i>n</i> = 14)	DZP-IV (<i>n</i> = 14)	p
Time from admission to active treatment (min)	$\textbf{2.8} \pm \textbf{1.5}$	$\textbf{7.4} \pm \textbf{4.1}$	0.001‡
Time from active treatment to cessation of seizures (min)	$\textbf{4.4} \pm \textbf{0.5}$	$\textbf{3.3}\pm\textbf{0.8}$	<0.001 [‡]
Time from admission to cessation of seizures (min)	$\textbf{7.3} \pm \textbf{1.4}$	10.6 ± 3.9	0.006‡
Intention-to-treat analysis (including treatment failures)	MDZ-IM (<i>n</i> = 16)	DZP-IV (<i>n</i> = 16)	p
Time from admission to active treatment (min)	3.8±2.8	7.4±4.0	0.001*
Time from active treatment to cessation of seizures (min)	$\textbf{7.9} \pm \textbf{12.6}$	5.7 ± 9.1	0.003*
Time from admission to cessation of seizures (min)	11.7 ± 14.6	13.1 ± 10.5	0.007*
Failed intravenous access $(n, \%)$		4 (25%)	0.0001
Treatment failure $(n, \%)$	2 (12.5%)	2 (12.5%)	1.000 [†]
ICU transfer (n, %)	1 (6.25%)	2 (12.5%)	1.000 [†]
Adverse drug reactions (n, %)	1 (6.3%)	5 (31%)	0.171†

DZP-IV, intravenous diazepam; ICU, intensive care unit; MDZ-IM, intramuscular midazolam.

* Non-normal continuous variables (Mann–Whitney U).

[†] Categorical variables (chi-square or Fisher's exact tests).

[‡] Normally distributed continuous variables (Student's *t*-test).

the mouth and nose, administration of midazolam through these routes can be extraordinarily challenging due to the involuntary movements of the convulsing child and due to the presence of airway secretion, which impedes proper absorption.^{2,13} Rectal administration of diazepam is associated with erratic absorption and poor therapeutic success rates (27%).^{4,12}

Midazolam has been used in the treatment of seizures since the 1980s,¹⁴ with a good safety profile in terms of respiratory depression and good absorption via several routes (intranasal, buccal, rectal, and intramuscular).^{13,15–17} A study conducted in pediatric emergency departments in Australia and New Zealand showed that, when venous access could not be obtained, 49% of the physicians used rectal diazepam and 41% used intramuscular midazolam, which shows increasing preference for the intramuscular route.¹⁸

As in previous studies, IV diazepam has a faster onset of action than IM midazolam after administration (3.3 min versus 4.4 min), due to the shorter time to peak serum levels and, consequently, earlier achievement of therapeutic levels in the CNS.^{1,11,19} Nevertheless, actual time to cessation of seizures (i.e. time from admission to the emergency department to cessation of seizure activity) was significantly shorter in the IM midazolam group (7.3 min versus 10.6 min), which corroborates the observations of a similar trial.²⁰



Figure 2 Vital signs (systolic and diastolic blood pressure, heart rate, respiratory rate) of children in the IM midazolam and IV diazepam groups, at 5 min, 10 min, and discharge or transfer.

These results suggest that, when treating children with convulsive seizures in whom venous access is expected to be difficult or unlikely, IM administration of midazolam is safe, effective, and perhaps superior to intravenous diazepam. It bears stressing that seizure duration is directly associated with the speed of benzodiazepine administration.⁵ Similar findings have been reported in the treatment of behavioral disturbances in adult patients (where intravenous access is also challenging), where IM administration was superior to IV sedation in terms of time to cessation of psychomotor agitation (21 min versus 30 min).²¹ Therefore, even though BZDs act more rapidly when administered by the intravenous route, early intramuscular administration of midazolam provides superior therapeutic efficacy, due to faster administration as well as due to the excellent absorption of midazolam by this route.

Failed intravenous access is widely recognized as a hindrance to implementation of urgent care protocols in the pediatric emergency department. It is estimated that 2.5–16 min are required to obtain peripheral venous access in adults, with a failure rate of 10–40%. In children, this rate ranges from 14% to 70%, with failure being most common in infants.^{11,22–24} Venous access could not be obtained in 20% of the 20 children randomized to the DZP-IV arm of this study, which provides further evidence of the importance of intramuscular administration of anticonvulsants in this setting.

Adverse effects were more frequent in the diazepam group, as expected in view of previous comparisons with midazolam and lorazepam,^{9,19} although the difference did

not reach statistical significance. The adverse effects of BZDs are usually dose-dependent and associated with repeated administration, and manifest most commonly as reduced oxygen saturation and central hypoventilation.^{2,5} These findings are rapidly reversed with administration of supplemental oxygen, airway suctioning and positional maneuvers and, in a minority of cases, bag-valve-mask ventilation.

The etiology of seizures varies according to age and geographical region. However, most studies report that approximately one-third of children admitted to an emergency department with convulsions are having a febrile seizure,²⁵⁻²⁷ as was the case in the present study.

One limitation of this study is that the sample size precludes any conclusions about adverse effects and drug safety, which would require a larger population. Furthermore, due to the design and nature of the study, personnel in charge of administering drugs were not blinded to allocation, which may have introduced bias. Despite this limitation, the times to seizure cessation obtained in this study were highly favorable, especially in view of the study setting (the dedicated pediatric emergency department of a large, university-affiliated tertiary care center). One may presume that at smaller hospitals, where staff might have less skill or experience obtaining intravenous access in children, the difference would be even more significant.

Another limitation of the present study is the fact that, although the cause of seizure could be initially identified at the emergency room in most patients, this initial identification does reach a 100% level of certainty. Therefore, emergency room professionals may have failed to identify, at first, a child with an underlying neurological disease leading to unresponsiveness to seizure treatment.

The results of the study suggest that intramuscular midazolam significantly shortens seizure duration in children as compared with intravenous diazepam. Therefore, intramuscular midazolam is a good alternative to intravenous diazepam, in view of its anticonvulsant efficacy and speed and ease of administration in the pediatric emergency care setting.

Conflicts of interest

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Authors' contribution

All authors took part in data collection and analysis, literature review, and drafting of the manuscript.

References

- McMullan J, Sasson C, Pancioli A, Silbergleit R. Midazolam versus diazepam for the treatment of status epilepticus in children and young adults: a meta-analysis. Acad Emerg Med. 2010;17:575–82.
- Anderson M. Benzodiazepines for prolonged seizures. Arch Dis Child Educ Pract Ed. 2010;95:183–9.
- Casella EB, Mangia CM. Management of acute seizure episodes and status epilepticus in children. J Pediatr (Rio J). 1999;75 Suppl. 2:S197-206.
- Martland T, Harris CS. Management of status epilepticus. Paediatr Child Health. 2009;19:225–31.
- Loddenkemper T, Goodkin HP. Treatment of pediatric status epilepticus. Curr Treat Options Neurol. 2011;13:560–73.
- National Institute for health and clinical excellence (NICE). The epilepsies: the diagnosis and management of the epilepsies in adults and children in primary and secondary care. NICE clinical guideline, vol. 137; 2012.
- Lagae L. Clinical practice: the treatment of acute convulsive seizures in children. Eur J Pediatr. 2011;170:413–8.
- Chin RF, Neville BG, Peckham C, Wade A, Bedford H, Scott RC. Treatment of community-onset, childhood convulsive status epilepticus: a prospective, population-based study. Lancet Neurol. 2008;7:696–703.
- 9. Gathwala G, Goel M, Singh J, Mittal K. Intravenous diazepam, midazolam and lorazepam in acute seizure control. Indian J Pediatr. 2012;79:327-32.
- Rizzutti S, do Prado LB, do Prado GF. Midazolam nasal no tratamento de crises convulsivas. Neurociências. 2004;12:157–65.
- Silbergleit R, Durkalski V, Lowenstein D, Conwit R, Pancioli A, Palesch Y, et al. Intramuscular versus intravenous therapy for prehospital status epilepticus. N Engl J Med. 2012;366: 591–600.

- Abend NS, Gutierrez-Colina AM, Dlugos DJ. Medical treatment of pediatric status epilepticus. Semin Pediatr Neurol. 2010;17:169–75.
- McIntyre J, Robertson S, Norris E, Appleton R, Whitehouse WP, Phillips B, et al. Safety and efficacy of buccal midazolam versus rectal diazepam for emergency treatment of seizures in children: a randomised controlled trial. Lancet. 2005;366:205–10.
- Rivera R, Segnini M, Baltodano A, Perez V. Midazolam in the treatment of status epilepticus in children. Crit Care Med. 1993;21:991–4.
- **15.** Orebaugh SL, Bradford SM. Intravenous versus intramuscular midazolam in treatment of chemically induced generalized seizures in swine. Am J Emerg Med. 1994;12:284–7.
- Wroblewski BA, Joseph AB. The use of intramuscular midazolam for acute seizure cessation or behavioral emergencies in patients with traumatic brain injury. Clin Neuropharmacol. 1992;15:44–9.
- 17. Lahat E, Aladjem M, Eshel G, Bistritzer T, Katz Y. Midazolam in treatment of epileptic seizures. Pediatr Neurol. 1992;8:215–6.
- Babl FE, Sheriff N, Borland M, Acworth J, Neutze J, Krieser D, et al. Emergency management of paediatric status epilepticus in Australia and New Zealand: practice patterns in the context of clinical practice guidelines. J Paediatr Child Health. 2009;45:541-6.
- 19. Towne AR, DeLorenzo RJ. Use of intramuscular midazolam for status epilepticus. J Emerg Med. 1999;17:323–8.
- 20. Chamberlain JM, Altieri MA, Futterman C, Young GM, Ochsenschlager DW, Waisman Y. A prospective, randomized study comparing intramuscular midazolam with intravenous diazepam for the treatment of seizures in children. Pediatr Emerg Care. 1997;13:92–4.
- 21. Isbister GK, Calver LA, Page CB, Stokes B, Bryant JL, Downes MA. Randomized controlled trial of intramuscular droperidol versus midazolam for violence and acute behavioral disturbance: the DORM study. Ann Emerg Med. 2010;56:392–401, e1.
- 22. Leidel BA, Kirchhoff C, Bogner V, Braunstein V, Biberthaler P, Kanz KG. Comparison of intraosseous versus central venous vascular access in adults under resuscitation in the emergency department with inaccessible peripheral veins. Resuscitation. 2012;83:40–5.
- Sadow KB, Teach SJ. Prehospital intravenous fluid therapy in pediatric trauma patient. Clin Pediatr Emerg Med. 2001;2:23–7.
- 24. Oliveira CF, Nogueira de Sa FR, Oliveira DS, Gottschald AF, Moura JD, Shibata AR, et al. Time- and fluid-sensitive resuscitation for hemodynamic support of children in septic shock: barriers to the implementation of the American College of Critical Care Medicine/Pediatric Advanced Life Support Guidelines in a pediatric intensive care unit in a developing world. Pediatr Emerg Care. 2008;24:810–5.
- **25.** Tirupathi S, McMenamin JB, Webb DW. Analysis of factors influencing admission to intensive care following convulsive status epilepticus in children. Seizure. 2009;18:630–3.
- Shah I, Deshmukh CT. Intramuscular midazolam vs intravenous diazepam for acute seizures. Indian J Pediatr. 2005;72:667–70.
- 27. Saz EU, Karapinar B, Ozcetin M, Polat M, Tosun A, Serdaroglu G, et al. Convulsive status epilepticus in children: etiology, treatment protocol and outcome. Seizure. 2011;20:115–8.