

- tions in need of palliative care in the general population: development of the NECPAL tool and preliminary prevalence rates in Catalonia. *BMJ Support Palliat Care.* 2013;3:300–8, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjspcare-2012-000211>.
6. Hillman KM, Cardona-Morrell M. The ten barriers to appropriate management of patients at the end of their life. *Intensive Care Med.* 2015;41:1700–2, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00134-015-3712-6>.
 7. Calsina-Berna A, Martínez-Muñoz M, Bardés Robles I, Beas Alba E, Madariaga Sánchez R, Gómez Batiste Alentorn X. Intra-hospital mortality and survival of patients with advanced chronic illnesses in a tertiary hospital identified with the NECPAL CCOMS-ICO© tool. *J Palliat Med.* 2018;21:665–73, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1089/jpm.2017.0339>.
 8. Gómez-Batiste X, Martínez-Muñoz M, Blay C, Amblàs J, Vila L, Costa X, et al. Utility of the NECPAL CCOMS-ICO© tool and the surprise question as screening tools for early palliative care and to predict mortality in patients with advanced chronic conditions: a cohort study. *Palliat Med.* 2017;31:754–63, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0269216316676647>.
 9. Dent E, Martin FC, Bergman H, Woo J, Romero-Ortuno R, Walston JD. Management of frailty: opportunities, challenges, and future directions. *Lancet.* 2019;394:1376–86, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(19\)31785-4](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(19)31785-4).
 10. WHO [Accessed June 2020]. Available from: <https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/258981/9789241550109-eng.pdf?sequence=1>, 2017.
- C. Ferré^a, S. Canelles^a, X. Daniel^a, O. Rubio^b, F. Esteban^a, A. Pobo^{a,*}
- ^a Unidad de Cuidados Intensivos, Hospital Universitari Joan XXIII, Institut d'Investigació Sanitària Pere Virgili, University Rovira i Virgili, CIBERES, Tarragona, Spain
- ^b Unidad de Cuidados Intensivos, Hospital Sant Joan de Déu, Fundació Althaia Xarxa Universitària, Manresa, Barcelona, Spain

Corresponding author.
E-mail address: apobo.hj23.ics@gencat.cat (A. Pobo).

2173-5727/ © 2021 Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U.

Public-private collaboration in Seville as a strategy to expand organ and tissue donors: Think there is no box[☆]



Colaboración público-privada en Sevilla como estrategia para ampliar los donantes de órganos y tejidos: Think there is no box

Dear Editor:

Organ and tissue transplantation is currently a consolidated therapy that last year saved the lives or improved the quality of life of over 5300 patients in our country. However, yet despite this great activity, we are still not self-sufficient when it comes to meeting the needs of our population.¹

In this sense, the National Transplant Organization (NTO) started a strategic plan to assess areas with room for improvement, set specific goals, and design specific actions.^{2,3} Among the limiting factors we constantly find the number and type of hospitals involved in the process of donation in such a way that transplant centers and centers with neurocritical patients traditionally have more activity.⁴ Over the last few years, the number of private hospitals has grown, they have become more complex, and gained prominence as part of the healthcare system with a huge number of human, material, and logistic resources.⁵

Considering the little involvement of the private sector in the activity of donation since 2012, the Sectorial Coordination of Transplants Seville-Huelva, Spain has signed a

public-private collaboration agreement with several hospitals from the city of Seville, Spain to support the process of organ and tissue donation in the intensive care unit (ICU) setting without having to transfer the potential donor, thus guaranteeing that he can participate in this process. Also, added to a training program for the ICU and OR staff of the private sector.^{6–8}

This program facilitated the option of organ and tissue donation from dead people in these centers who would have never been donors otherwise. After 7 years, we wish to present the results obtained to show the room for growth of a collaborative program like this.

This was an observational and retrospective study of the activity of organ and tissue donation in private hospitals of the city of Seville from 2012 through 2018. The sex, age, blood type, presence of cardiovascular risk factors like arterial hypertension or diabetes mellitus, as well as the cause of death of every donor were recorded. Also, the type of donation was specified whether under conditions of brain dead (BD) or controlled asystole donation (CAD), as well as the number and type of organs and tissues extracted. Finally, the organs that were eventually transplanted and those that were not viable for transplantation were identified, as well as the causes that prevented the transplant. Quantitative variables were expressed as median and interquartile range (IQR), and the qualitative ones as absolute numbers and percentages.

A total of 23 organ and tissue donors were registered. A total of 82% of the cases were donations under conditions of brain dead (BD) that were predominant within the first 4 years of the study. Over the last 2 years, donation in CAD has come up as the new model of donation, using the super-fast preservation technique in two cases, and the normothermic regional perfusion (NRP) technique in another two (Table 1).

A total of 78% of the donors provided one or more viable organs for transplantation. A total of 39 organs were implanted, which represents a rate of 1.7 viable organs/donor with a distribution shown on Table 2. Only

☆ Please cite this article as: Martín-Villen L, Ruiz de Azúa-López Z, Revuelto-Rey J, Aldabó-Pallás T, Alonso-Gil M, Egea-Guerrero JJ. Colaboración público-privada en Sevilla como estrategia para ampliar los donantes de órganos y tejidos: Think there is no box. *Med Intensiva.* 2022;46:103–105.

Table 1 Characteristics of donors.

	Median (IQR) / N (%)
<i>Age</i>	69 (50–76)
<i>Sex, man</i>	14 (62%)
<i>Past medical history</i>	
AHT	9 (39,1%)
DM	5 (21,7%)
<i>Cause of death</i>	
Hemorrhagic stroke	17 (74%)
Ischemic stroke	3 (14%)
AE	2 (9%)
Hydrocephalus	1 (4%)
<i>Type of donation</i>	
BD	19 (83%)
SF CAD	2 (8,5%)
NRP CAD	2 (8,5%)
<i>Results of donation</i>	
One or more viable organs	18 (78%)
Nonviable	5 (22%)
<i>Tissue donors</i>	6 (26%)

AE, anoxic encephalopathy; AHT, arterial hypertension; BD, brain death; DM, diabetes mellitus; NRP, normothermic regional perfusion; SF CAD: super-fast controlled asystole donation.

Table 2 Organs and tissues harvested and implanted from 2012 through 2018.

	Harvested, N	Implanted, N (%)
<i>Organs</i>		
Kidneys	44	17 (31.8)
Liver	21	15 (71.4)
Heart	4	3 (75)
Lung	7	4 (57.14)
Pancreas	1	1 (100)
Total	77	39 (50.6)
<i>Tissues</i>		
Bone	6	6 (100)
Corneas	12	10 (83.3)
Heart valves	3	3 (100)
Vascular segments	3	3 (100)
Skin	1	1 (100)
Total	25	23 (92)

5 cases provided nonviable organs being the most common cause of organ rejection for transplantation the unfavorable anatomopathological results obtained in the biopsy performed prior to implantation.

Since 2012, the involvement of the private sector healthcare system of the city of Seville is a reality with over 20 donors and nearly 40 organ transplants of solid organs. This commitment with donation and transplantation represents 3.5% of the activity performed in this sector increasing the rate of donors per million inhabitants (54.3%) above the Spanish mean rate.

If we compare our results with those presented by the NTO¹ we'll see that the median age of these donors and the most common cause of death (hemorrhagic stroke) exceed the Spanish median since private centers don't usually admit polytraumatized or acute neurocritical patients

who require invasive procedures. Regarding the different donation modalities available and, also consistent with the Spanish data, most donors died in situation of BD. In our series, CAD represents 20%-30% of the cases, meaning that Spain is a pioneer in the use of NRP.

Regarding the effectiveness of the process of organ donation, we can see that in this period, the rate of the private sector is lower compared to the Spanish one, which is probably due to the fact that they are elderly donors with more cardiovascular risk factors compared to the Spanish population. This last hypothesis is backed by the fact that most grafts (above all, renal grafts) were rejected by the anatomopathological findings of the biopsy.

Throughout this period, a total of 4 private hospitals have been accredited for organ and tissue harvesting, which brings extra quality to the services rendered to the users, and recognition to these centers as part of the Spanish healthcare network to the point that they have eventually been included in the assessment and quality framework of the Autonomous Regional Transplant Coordination in Andalusia, Spain.

The difficulty of healthcare systems worldwide to reach self-sufficiency in organ extraction for transplantation makes the coordination units of our country work on an ongoing basis looking for new strategies to optimize the process of organ and tissue donation.⁸⁻¹⁰

Taking all this into consideration and after reviewing our results, we conclude that the implementation of the process of organ and tissue donation in the ICU setting of the private sector should be an actual strategy aimed at transplant coordination of other sectors of our country and other parts of the world with the common goal of performing a larger number of transplants successfully.

In conclusion, we believe that taking donation to the private sector not only increases the rate of donations but should also be an obligation for all healthcare personnel who work at the ICU setting to give all citizens the opportunity to become organ and tissue donors regardless of the hospital selected.

Conflicts of interest

None reported.

References

- Resumen de actividad de donación y trasplante de órganos sólidos. España: Organización Nacional de Trasplantes; 2019 [Accessed September 2020]. Available from: <http://www.ont.es/infesp/Paginas/Memorias.aspx>
- Plan de acción para la mejora de la donación y el trasplante de órganos. Plan Donación 40. Organización Nacional de Trasplantes; 2008. April [Accessed Sep 2020]. Available from: <http://www.ont.es/infesp/ProyectosEnMarcha/Plan%20Donaci%C3%B3n%20Cuarenta.pdf>
- Plan estratégico en donación y trasplante de órganos 2018-2022. Sistema Español de Donación y Trasplantes; 2018. September [Accessed Sep 2020]. Available from: <http://www.ont.es/infesp/Paginas/plan-estrategico-2018-2022.aspx>

4. Patel MS, Mohebali J, Sally M, et al. Deceased organ donor management: does hospital volume matter? *J Am Coll Surg.* 2017;224:294–300.
 5. White SL, Hirth R, Mahillo B, et al. The global diffusion of organ transplantation: trends, drivers and policy implications. *Bull World Health Organ.* 2014;92:826–35.
 6. Álvarez-Márquez A, Egea-Guerrero JJ. Beneficio del proceso de donación de tejidos en las unidades de cuidados intensivos: una misión de todos. *Med Intensiva.* 2019;43:129–30.
 7. Protocolo marco para el desarrollo de la donación de órganos y tejidos en centros sanitarios del sector privado en colaboración con el sector sanitario público. Organización Nacional de Trasplantes; 2019. September. Available from: http://seccv.es/wp-content/uploads/2019/10/Protocolo-Marco-Donaci%C3%B3n-Sanidad-Privada_Septiembre-2019_FINAL.pdf
 8. Revuelto-Rey J, Aldabó-Pallás T, Egea-Guerrero JJ, Martín-Villén L, Correa-Chamorro E, Gallego-Corpa A. Donation in private clinics as an alternate strategy to increase the pool of donors. *Transplant Proc.* 2015;47:2570–1.
 9. Arrieta J. Evaluación económica del tratamiento sustitutivo renal (hemodiálisis, diálisis peritoneal y trasplante). *Nefrología.* 2010;1 Supl Ext 1:37–47.
 10. Daga Ruiz D, Egea-Guerrero JJ. Intensive care medicine: the cornerstone of the donation process in Spain. *Med Intensiva.* 2020;44:61.
- L. Martín-Villén ^{a,b}, Z. Ruiz de Azúa-López ^{a,b}, J. Revuelto-Rey ^{b,c}, T. Aldabó-Pallás ^{b,c}, M. Alonso-Gil ^d, J.J. Egea-Guerrero ^{a,d,e,*}
- ^a Medicina Intensiva, HU Virgen del Rocío, Sevilla, Spain
^b Coordinación Sectorial Trasplantes de Sevilla y Huelva, Spain
^c Medicina Intensiva, HU Puerta del Mar, Cádiz, Spain
^d Coordinación Autonómica de Trasplantes, Hospital Universitario Virgen del Rocío, Sevilla, Spain
^e IBiS/CSIC Universidad de Sevilla, Sevilla, Spain
- Corresponding author.
E-mail address: [\(J.J. Egea-Guerrero\).](mailto:juan.j.egea.sspa@juntadeandalucia.es)
- 2173-5727/ © 2021 Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U.

High flow oxygen therapy in the treatment of SARS-CoV-2 pneumonia[☆]



Oxigenoterapia de alto flujo en el tratamiento de la neumonía por síndrome respiratorio agudo grave por coronavirus tipo 2

Dear Editor:

High-flow nasal oxygen therapy (HFNO), and non-invasive ventilation (NIV) have been used to treat early acute hypoxic respiratory failure due to SARS-CoV-2-induced pneumonia. In this sense, the PROSPERO trial¹ found that HFNO did not reduce the rate of intubation or the mortality rate in emergency wards. However, after conducting a systematic review and a meta-analysis, Ferreiro et al. found that, compared to standard oxygen therapy, the use of non-invasive oxygenation strategies was associated with a lower risk of death.² The guidelines established by our society for the management of SARS-CoV-2-induced pneumonia recommend using HFNO^{3,4} instead of NIV (except for selected cases).

One of the main justifications for this recommendation is based on the statement that "NIV can generate aerosols and facilitate the spreading of the virus"; in this sense, the study conducted by Fowler et al. found no significant association between both variables. Although the analysis conducted by Raboud et al. found differences in a percent-

age comparison that used the chi-square test, the logistic regression model confirmed the following as independent predictors: the exposure of the eyes and mucous membrane to the patient's bodily fluids ($OR = 7.34; P = .001$), a patient's APACHE II score ≥ 20 ($OR = 17.05; P = .0009$), a patient's P/F ratio ≤ 59 ($OR = 8.65; P = .001$), and being present while an ECG ($OR = 3.52; P = .002$), and intubation ($OR = 2.79; P = .004$) are being performed.^{5,6}

Based on the hypothesis that, in selected cases, the use of HFNO can improve the disease progression of patients with respiratory failure admitted to the ICU, a total of 79 patients hospitalized in the COVID unit of the critical care medicine section (CCMS) between March through May 2020 were retrospectively reviewed. The study was completed with an analysis of the cost-effectiveness ratio of the respiratory therapy to treat hypoxic respiratory failure due to SARS-CoV-2-induced pneumonia (see electronic supplementary data).

Data were obtained from a registry of patients with COVID from the CCMS after receiving the approval from the local research ethics committee and obtaining the informed consent of the patient or his legal representative

In 12 patients the clinical suspicion of SARS-CoV-2-induced disease could not be microbiologically confirmed, which is why these patients were excluded from the analysis. The main clinical and epidemiological characteristics of the cohort of patients are shown on Table 1 of the electronic supplementary data.

Two patients received oxygen supply using conventional techniques without having to escalate the respiratory therapy during their ICU stay, 45 (67%) received invasive mechanical ventilation immediately while 20 (30%) were treated with HFNO at the beginning (Table 1). The early parameters of all the patients treated with HFNO were 60 L of air flow and 90% of FiO₂ followed by FiO₂ titration to achieve SpO₂ > 95%.

[☆] Please cite this article as: González-Castro A, Cuenca Fito E, Fernández-Rodríguez A, Escudero Acha P, Rodríguez Borregán JC, Peñasco Y. Oxigenoterapia de alto flujo en el tratamiento de la neumonía por síndrome respiratorio agudo grave por coronavirus tipo 2. *Med Intensiva.* 2022;46:105–107.