



**Table 1** Complications of prolonged prone position.

n	VAP (yes/no)	Obstruction of OTT (yes/no)	TPN (yes/no)	Edema (yes/no)		Pressure ulcers <sup>a</sup> (yes/no)			Joint problems (Yes/No)	Eye problems (Yes/No)
				Facial <sup>b</sup>	ICU discharge	Facial <sup>b</sup>	Thoracic <sup>b</sup>	ICU discharge		
1	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No
2	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No
3	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No
4	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No
5	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No
6	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No
7	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	No
8	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	No
9	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No
10	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No
11	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No
12	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No
13	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No
14	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No
15	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	No
16	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	No
17	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No

ICU, intensive care unit; OTT, orotracheal tube; TPN, total parenteral nutrition; VAP, ventilator-associated pneumonia.

<sup>a</sup> Grade  $\geq 2$ .

<sup>b</sup> Assessed 7 days after placing the patient in the prone position.

position technique by other healthcare personnel that came to help to the intensive care units.

In view of this situation, and to optimize resources and reduce the risk of complications, some centers decided to create dedicated teams with the sole task of performing this procedure.<sup>7</sup> In our unit we engaged in prone sessions of up to 48 h instead of the recommended 16 h/day to reduce the total number of changes of position.

The efficacy of the prone position in terms of mortality was confirmed when the sessions, that extended for 8 h/day during the first studies, went on for another 8 h (16 h total).<sup>5</sup> However, we still do not know if more hours in the prone position could increase the benefit of this technique. It is plausible to think that with more hours in the prone position the chances of ventilation-induced lung injury are much lower. The risks associated with this increase in the number of hours in the prone position can be facial edema, pressure ulcers, orotracheal tube obstructions, enteral nutrition intolerance, and joint and eye problems.<sup>5</sup>

The medical literature available on prolonged prone positioning is scarce. We only know of 2 articles that speak of its feasibility, and safety with satisfactory results.<sup>8,9</sup>

We describe our experience with 17 consecutive patients hospitalized due to COVID-19 and ARDS between March and August 2020. This was a prospective series of patients with retrospective data mining that starts with the patients' health records. The objective of the study was to assess the feasibility and safety of the technique, not its physiological implication or clinical benefits. A local research ethics committee has approved the publication of this study.

A total of 100% of the patients were placed in the prone position early at the beginning. The patients' mean age was  $60 \pm 11$  years, 60% were males, and the mean body mass index was  $28 \pm 5$  kg/m<sup>2</sup>. On day 1, with the prone position already implemented, the mean tidal volume was  $375 \pm 30$  mL ( $6 \pm 1$  mL/kg of ideal weight), the FiO<sub>2</sub> was  $50 \pm 7\%$ , the PEEP was  $11 \pm 1$  cmH<sub>2</sub>O, and the PaO<sub>2</sub>/FiO<sub>2</sub> ratio was  $260 \pm 80$  mmHg. The mean static compliance was  $33 \pm 7$  mL/cmH<sub>2</sub>O, the plateau pressure was  $23 \pm 2$  cmH<sub>2</sub>O, and the driving pressure was  $12 \pm 2$  cmH<sub>2</sub>O. The mean time patients remained on invasive ventilation was  $25 \pm 9$  days, the mean length of the ICU stay was  $32 \pm 13$  days, and the in-hospital overall mortality rate was 18% (3/17).

The mean number of sessions in the prone position per patient was  $3 \pm 1$  with a mean duration per session of  $46 \pm 18$  h (Fig. 1). A total of 85% of the sessions exceeded the 24-h mark. The most common adverse event found in 100% of the patients was facial edema (Table 1). The rate of grade  $\geq 2$  pressure ulcers 7 days after placing the patient in the prone position was 47% in the face, and 29% in the thorax. These rates are higher than those reported by studies with prone sessions of up to 16 h (29% and 18%, respectively).<sup>10</sup> Despite of this, both facial edema and grade  $\geq 2$  pressure ulcers were gone at discharge. None of the patients from the series required total parenteral nutrition so we can assume that tolerance to enteral nutrition was, at least, acceptable. One patient experienced joint issues in his shoulder that probably had to do with the "swimmer" position we used to place him in the prone position. No orotracheal tube obstructions or significant eye problems were reported. The percentage of patients with ventilation-associated pneumonia was 18%, which is somehow similar to the rate reported

by studies with ARDS, and 16 h-prone sessions,<sup>5</sup> and also to recent series with COVID-19.<sup>3,4</sup>

Our study has limitations. This was a retrospective, single-center study with a small sample and no control group. To generalize its use out of this exceptional situation new experimental studies are required with control groups and focused on the safety profile of this technique.

In conclusion, prolonged ventilation in the prone position with mean sessions of up to 48 h is feasible and reasonably safe. Also, it can be an option for future peaks of the pandemic to reduce the risk involved in every change of position and the workload of healthcare workers.

## References

- Guérin C, Reignier J, Richard J-C, Beuret P, Gacouin A, Boulain T, et al. Prone positioning in severe acute respiratory distress syndrome. *N Engl J Med*. 2013;368:2159–68.
- Guérin C, Constantin JM, Bellani G, Garcia-Olivares P, Roca O, Meertens JH, et al. A prospective international observational prevalence study on prone positioning of ARDS patients: the APRONET (ARDS Prone Position Network) study. *Intensive Care Med*. 2018;44:22–37.
- Rodríguez A, Moreno G, Gómez J, Carbonell R, Picó-Plana E, Benavent Bofill C, et al. Infección grave por coronavirus SARS-CoV-2: experiencia en un hospital de tercer nivel con pacientes afectados por COVID-19 durante la pandemia 2020. *Med Intensiva*. 2020;44:525–33.
- Ramírez P, Gordón M, Martín-Cerezuela M, Villarreal E, Sancho E, Padrós M, et al. Acute respiratory distress syndrome due to COVID-19. Clinical and prognostic features from a medical Critical Care Unit in Valencia, Spain. *Med Intensiva*. 2021;45:27–34.
- Mora-Arteaga JA, Bernal-Ramírez OJ, Rodríguez SJ. The effects of prone position ventilation in patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome. A systematic review and metaanalysis. *Med Intensiva Engl Ed*. 2015;39:359–72.
- Azoulay E, Ferrer R, Staudinger T, Borkowska M, Povoia P, Iliopoulou K, et al. Symptoms of burnout in intensive care unit specialists facing the COVID-19 outbreak. *Ann Intensive Care*. 2020;10:110.
- Kimmoun A, Chenuel B. Usefulness and safety of a dedicated team to prone patients with severe ARDS due to COVID-19. *Crit Care*. 2020;24:509.
- Romero CM, Cornejo RA, Gálvez LR, Llanos OP, Tobar EA, Berañain MA, et al. Extended prone position ventilation in severe acute respiratory distress syndrome: a pilot feasibility study. *J Crit Care*. 2009;24:81–8.
- Jochmans S, Mazerand S, Chelly J, Pourcine F, Sy O, Thieulot-Rolin N, et al. Duration of prone position sessions: a prospective cohort study. *Ann Intensive Care*. 2020;10:66.
- Girard R, Ayzac L, Richard J-C, Guérin C. The impact of patient positioning on pressure ulcers in patients with severe ARDS: results from a multicentre randomised controlled trial on prone positioning. *Intensive Care Med*. 2014;40:397–403.

P. Concha, M. Treso-Geira, C. Esteve-Sala, C. Prades-Berengué, J. Domingo-Marco, F. Roche-Campo\*

*Servicio de Medicina Intensiva, Hospital Verge de la Cinta, Tortosa, Tarragona, Spain*

Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [ferranroche@gmail.com](mailto:ferranroche@gmail.com) (F. Roche-Campo).

2173-5727/ © 2021 Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-SA license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/>).